

Q-1

$X \sim \text{Gaussian}(\mu, \sigma^2)$; μ unknown, σ^2 known

M.L estimate —

$$P(\text{data}|\mu) = \text{JL} = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2} \cdot \sigma^n} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\log(\text{JL}) = -\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \text{constant}$$

$$\frac{d}{d\mu} \log(\text{JL}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i - \mu}{\sigma^2} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\mu}^{\text{ML}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}}$$

MAP estimate with gaussian prior →

Prior : $P(\mu) = \text{Gaussian}(\mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$; $\mu_0 = 10.5$, $\sigma_0 = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \arg\max_{\mu} P(\mu|\text{data}) &= \arg\max_{\mu} P(\text{data}|\mu) \cdot P(\mu) \\ &= \arg\max_{\mu} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n G(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) \right) \left(G(\mu; \mu_0, \sigma_0^2) \right) \\ &= \arg\max_{\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n -\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \arg \min_{\mu} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(\mu - x_i)^2}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \right)}_{J(\mu) \text{ (lt)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So, } \frac{d}{d\mu} J(\mu) &= 0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\mu - x_i}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu - \mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} \\ &= \frac{n\mu - n\bar{x}}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\mu - \mu_0}{\sigma_0^2} ; \quad \bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\hat{\mu}^{\text{MAP1}} = \frac{\mu_0 \sigma^2 / n + \bar{x} \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 / n + \sigma_0^2}}$$

MAP estimate with uniform prior :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prior : } P(\mu) &= \frac{1}{11.5 - 9.5} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{if } \mu \in [9.5, 11.5] \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \arg \max_{\mu} P(\mu | \text{data}) &= \arg \max_{\mu} P(\text{data} | \mu) \cdot P(\mu) \\ &= \arg \max_{\mu} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n G(x_i; \mu, \sigma^2) \right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

So, Posterior is maximized when the likelihood is maximized, μ

$$\text{So, } \underline{\hat{\mu}^{\text{MAP2}} = \hat{\mu}^{\text{ML}} = \bar{x}} \quad \underline{\text{if } \bar{x} \in [9.5, 11.5]}$$

But if $\bar{\pi} < 9.5$, the posterior is a decreasing function between $\mu = 9.5$ and 11.5 , so its maximum value occurs at 9.5 .

Similarly, if $\bar{\pi} > 11.5$, the posterior is an increasing function between $\mu = 9.5$ and 11.5 , so its maximum value occurs at 11.5 .

Hence,
$$\hat{\mu}^{\text{MAP}_2} = \begin{cases} 9.5 & \text{if } \bar{\pi} < 9.5 \\ \bar{\pi} & \text{if } \bar{\pi} \in [9.5, 11.5] \\ 11.5 & \text{if } \bar{\pi} > 11.5 \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\pi} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i}{n}$

Interpretations from graph -

- i) As n increases, error decreases and approaches 0 for large values of n .
- ii) We would prefer MAP 1 estimate (with gaussian prior) because it gives lower absolute errors than other estimates, even when n is lower.